

Superfund Long-Term Human Health Protection Worksheet

Definition: The Long-Term Human Health Protection El documents the progress achieved towards providing long-term human health protection by measuring the incremental progress achieved in controlling unacceptable human exposures at a site.

Site Name: FRIDLEY COMMONS PARK WELL FIELD

EPA ID: MND985701309

HE Survey Status: Long-Term Human Health Protection

Estimated Under Control Date (if not under control):

Estimated Date for Sufficient Information to make a HE Determination (If HEID):

Estimated LTHHP Control Date (if not under control): 09/29/05

Justification Text: If site status has changed. Please enter a justification as to why the status has changed: Cite - RI Step 1. Is there sufficient known and reliable information to make an evaluation No on human exposures at this site? Insufficient Data to **Determine Human** Answer: Yes **Exposure Control** Listing Reference Documents: ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR WORKSHEETS Status GPRA EI SURVEYS HE WORKSHEETS GM WORKSHEETS 07/09/09 Step 2. Have all human exposure-related cleanup goals been met for the entire site? Yes Long-Term Human Answer: Yes **Health Protection** Achieved Listing Reference Documents: No Step 3. Are there complete human exposure pathways between contaminated No groundwater, surface water, soil, sediment or air media and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under current conditions? Listing Reference Documents: Yes Step 4. Are the actual or reasonably expected human exposures associated **Current Human** with the complete pathways identified in Step 3 with acceptable limits under Skip to **Exposures Not** current conditions? No Step 5 Controlled Answer: Listing Reference Documents: Yes Step 5. Is the site Construction Complete, is the remedy operating as intended, **Current Human** No and are engineering and institutional controls, if required, in place and effective? Exposures Controlled **Current Human** Listing Reference Documents: Exposure Controlled Yes and Protective Remedy in Place Step 6. Are there continuing exposures at the site? Answer "Yes" only if EPA (or a state or PRP) has extended all response actions and legal authorities to prevent unacceptable human exposures, yet exposures continue due to a refusal by the property owner(s) to participate in the remedy (e.g., refusal to accept a municipal water supply hookup) AND the region wishes to exercise its discretion to classify this site as Human Exposure Under Control, consistent with the requirements laid out in the Superfund Environmental Indicators Guidance (OSWER 9285 02, March 2008, pages 4-10 and 4-11) Remedial Project Manager Valentin, Pablo Date Completed

Superfund Migration of Contaminated Ground Water Under Control Worksheet

EPA ID: MND985701309

Definition: Is the migration of contaminated ground water being controlled through engineered or natural processes?

Site Name: FRIDLEY COMMONS PARK WELL FIELD

GW Survey Status: Not a GW Site Estimated Under Control Date (If not under control):

Estimated Date for Sufficient Information to Make a GM Determination (if GMID):

Justification Text: If site status has changed. Please ënter a justification as to why the status has changed: Cite - RI, Tech Memo 07/14/05, Proposed Plan Q. Does the site currently have contaminated ground water or did site conditions Stop, you do not No warrant EPA's investigation or remediation of ground water contamination in the past? need to complete the GM EI Answer: No Yes Step 1. Based on the most current data on the site, has all available Insufficient relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to ground water been considered in this determination? Data/No Answer Not a Groundwater Site Listing Reference ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR WORKSHEETS -Documents: GPRA EI SURVEYS HE WORKSHEETS GM WORKSHEETS - 01/09/01 - 07/09/09 Yes Step 2. Is ground water known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well Contaminated as other appropriate standards, guidelines, or criteria) as a result of a release from the No **Ground Water** Insufficient Data Migration Under Answer: Not a Groundwater Site Control Listing Reference Documents: Yes Step 3. Is the migration of contaminated ground water stabilized (such that contaminated ground water is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated ground water") as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this Nο Insufficient Data determination? Answer Not a Groundwater Site Listing Reference Documents: Yes Step 4. Does "contaminated" ground water discharge into surface water bodies? Insufficient Data No Answer: Not a Groundwater Site Listina Reference Documents: Yes Step 5. Can the discharge of "contaminated" ground water into the surface water be shown to be "currently acceptable" as defined (i.e., not cause unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or ecosystems that should not be allowed to continue until a Insufficient Data No final remedy decision can be made and implemented. Answer: Not a Groundwater Site Listing Reference Documents: Yes Step 6. Will ground water monitoring/measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated ground water has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area" of contaminated ground water? Insufficient Data No Answer: Not a Groundwater Site Listing Reference Documents: Yes Contaminated Ground Contaminated Ground Insufficient Data to Determine **Contaminated Ground Water** Water Migration Under Water Migration Not Migration Under Control Status Control **Under Control** Remedial Project Manager Date Completed Valentin, Pablo